

Message

From: Charlie Lawrence [columbusog1@gmail.com]
Sent: 8/19/2020 4:15:10 PM
To: Urchel, Raymond [urchel.raymond@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Columbus.

Ray,

The wells are the Burns-Grohowski 1-33, Burns Grohowski 2-33, and the Burns Grohowski 4-33. They were permitted in 2013, We had previously withdrew some wells from our original application. Thanks again, Charlie

On Wed, Aug 19, 2020 at 11:45 AM Urchel, Raymond <urchel.raymond@epa.gov> wrote:

Before I can answer the enforcement question, which wells are active now? The database shows that an Area permit request for some wells was withdrawn?

From: Charlie Lawrence <columbusog1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 10:15 AM
To: Urchel, Raymond <urchel.raymond@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Columbus.

Ray,

Thank you. Charlie

On Wed, Aug 19, 2020 at 11:03 AM Urchel, Raymond <urchel.raymond@epa.gov> wrote:

Mr. Mitchell can witness the MIT's but you still need to provide all results pass/fail to Felicia, too. Ray Urchel

From: Charlie Lawrence <columbusog1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 9:33 AM
To: Urchel, Raymond <urchel.raymond@epa.gov>
Subject: Columbus.

Ray,

There was a problem with your last email. Can you please resend.

I am confirming that we have no enforcement issues and can begin injection operations once we submit updated Mechanical Integrity Tests.

In the past we have been allowed to submit MITs witnessed by Michigan EGLE district geologist William Mitchell.

Is that still acceptable?

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Charlie Lawrence

Columbus Oil and Gas llc